

STABILITY AND FUTAKI INVARIANTS OF FANO HYPERSURFACES

THOMAS RUDOLF BAUER

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Fano manifold. G. Tian proves that if X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, then it satisfies two different stability conditions: one involving the Futaki invariant of a special degeneration of X , the other Hilbert-Mumford-stability of X w. r. t. a certain polarization. He conjectures that each of these conditions is also sufficient for the existence of such a metric. If this is true, then in particular the two stability conditions would be equivalent. We show that for Fano hypersurfaces in projective space, where due to the work of Lu and Yotov an explicit formula for the Futaki invariant is known, these two conditions are indeed very closely related.

1. INTRODUCTION

For complex manifolds with ample or trivial canonical bundle the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is well known. For Fano manifolds, the situation is much more complicated. Of course, the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is Kähler-Einstein. But already $\mathbb{P}^2$ blown up in 1 or 2 points does not admit such a metric. In general, it is supposed that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric is related to the geometry of the Fano manifold, and to be more specific, to certain notions of stability of the manifold.

In [Ti97], Tian proves that a Fano manifold admitting a Kähler-Einstein metric satisfies two different stability conditions, one involving the Futaki invariant of a special degeneration of the manifold, the other Hilbert-Mumford stability of the manifold with respect to a certain polarization. Tian conjectures that each of these conditions is also sufficient for the existence of such a metric. If this is true, then in particular the two stability conditions would be equivalent. We show that for Fano hypersurfaces in projective space, where due to the work of Lu [Lu99] and Yotov [Yo99] an explicit formula for the Futaki invariant is known, these two conditions are indeed closely related, as it turns out that the Futaki invariant F equals Mumford's μ -function up to a constant.

Furthermore, we introduce the notion of a special degeneration of a hypersurface as a *hypersurface*, which is very similar to Tian's definition. Our main theorem is the following

Date: November 9, 2001.

Supported by Schwerpunktprogramm "Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie" Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft DFG.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X_f be a hypersurface of degree d , $1 < d < n + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- X_f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable
- for every special degeneration $\pi : Y \rightarrow \Delta$ of X_f as a hypersurface, where the degeneration is induced by a vector field v on $\mathbb{P}^n$, one has $F_{Y_0}(v|_{Y_0}) \geq 0$ and “ $= 0$ ” iff the degeneration is trivial.

I would like to thank Thomas Peternell for his suggestion to have a closer look at Tian’s paper [Ti97], and Thomas Eckl for some helpful comments on vector fields and automorphisms.

2. THE FUTAKI INVARIANT AND SPECIAL DEGENERATIONS

We always denote by X a Fano manifold and ask whether there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric on X , i. e. a Kähler metric such that the associated Kähler form ω satisfies $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega$. We further denote by $\eta(X)$ the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on X .

In [Fu83], Futaki introduced the character

$$F_X : \eta(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \qquad v \mapsto \int_X v(g) \omega^n$$

where n is the complex dimension of X and $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function with $\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = i/2\pi \partial\bar{\partial}g$. He proved that this is independent of the choice of the Kähler form ω with $[\omega] = [c_1(X)]$. In particular, if X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric then F_X vanishes and therefore the Futaki invariant gives a first obstruction to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics.

Unfortunately, there exist Fano manifolds without any global holomorphic vector fields (i. e. $F_X \equiv 0$ trivially) which do not admit any Kähler-Einstein metric [Ti97]. Therefore, if we want an equivalent condition, we have to refine this.

Definition 2.1. [Ti97] *a) A fibration $\pi : Y \rightarrow \Delta$ over the unit disc Δ is a special degeneration of X if*

- π is smooth over $\Delta - 0$
- X is isomorphic to a fiber Y_z for some $z \in \Delta - 0$
- the special fiber Y_0 is a normal variety (in particular irreducible and reduced)
- the relative anticanonical bundle $-K_{Y/\Delta}$ is ample and therefore induces an embedding $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^N \times \Delta$ such that π is induced by the projection on Δ and
- there exists a vector field w on Y with $\pi_* w = -z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ on Δ .

b) A special degeneration is said to be trivial if $Y = X \times \Delta$, π is the projection on Δ and w is induced by a vector field on X .

Remark 2.2. 1) The last condition of a) implies that w induces a vector field on Y_0 . 2) In a special degeneration, the special fiber Y_0 is always $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano. Ding and Tian [DT92] show that the above definition of the Futaki invariant still makes sense in this case.

Theorem 2.3. [Ti97] *If X is Kähler-Einstein then for every special degeneration of X one has $\operatorname{Re} F_{Y_0}(\omega|_{Y_0}) \geq 0$ and “ $= 0$ ” iff the degeneration is trivial.*

Conjecture 2.4. [Ti97] *This is also sufficient for the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on X .*

Of course, the main difficulty here is the calculation of the Futaki invariant. If Y_0 is smooth or has only orbifold singularities, one can use a fixed point formula for F_{Y_0} which was proved by Futaki [Fu88] resp. Ding and Tian [DT92].

If Y_0 is a hypersurface in projective space or more general a complete intersection, Lu [Lu99] and Yotov [Yo99] independently obtained an explicit formula for the Futaki invariant. To keep things easy, we will stick to the hypersurface case, although everything what follows is very similar in the complete intersection case.

Let $X = X_f = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree d , $1 < d < n + 1$, and denote by $[z_0 : \dots : z_n]$ the coordinates on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Furthermore, let $v = \sum a_{ij} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ be a vector field on $\mathbb{P}^n$, which is normalized by the condition $\sum a_{ii} = 0$, and let $\{\sigma_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ be the real 1-parameter subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ generated by v . If X_f is invariant under $\{\sigma_t\}$, then $v(f) = \kappa \cdot f$ with $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and v induces a vector field on X_f . By a theorem of Bott, every vector field on X_f arises in this way.

Definition 2.5. [Lu99][Yo99] *In the situation above,*

$$\tilde{F}_X(v) = -(n+1-d)(d-1) \frac{(n+1)}{n} \kappa$$

is the generalized Futaki invariant of v on X .

We remark that $(n+1-d)(d-1) \frac{(n+1)}{n}$ is positive, as $1 < d < n+1$.

Theorem 2.6. [Lu99][Yo99] *If X_f is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano, then $F_X = \tilde{F}_X$.*

3. THE METHOD OF DING AND TIAN

To make things even more explicit, we now recall an example of Ding and Tian, illustrating their method of evaluating the Futaki invariant on a degeneration of a Fano manifold X to show that X does not admit any Kähler-Einstein metric. Again we restrict ourselves to the case of Fano hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Let $X = X_f$ be a hypersurface of degree $1 < d < n+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If X is smooth and $d \neq 2$ then $\eta(X) = 0$ by a theorem of Kodaira and therefore

$F_X \equiv 0$ trivially. Let v be a vector field on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $\{\sigma_t\}_{-\infty < t < \infty}$ be the induced real 1-parameter subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^n)$. Furthermore, let $X_t = \sigma_t(X)$ and let $X_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t$ be the limit of the X_t , if such a limit exists. We also assume that X_∞ is a normal variety. By construction, $v|_{X_\infty}$ is a vector field on X_∞ . The main theorem of [DT92] now asserts that if X is Kähler-Einstein, then one has $\text{Re } F_{X_\infty}(v|_{X_\infty}) \geq 0$, where on X_∞ one uses again the generalized Futaki invariant. This result holds in the orbifold case as well (by using local uniformization).

Example 3.1. Let $f = z_0 z_1^2 + z_2 z_3(z_2 - z_3) + z_1 f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ where f_2 is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in z_1, z_2, z_3 , and $X = X_f = \{f = 0\}$ the corresponding cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which has a D_4 -singularity. Let $v = -7z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + 5z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}$ which already has trace 0. One easily reads off that $\sigma_t.z_0 = e^{-7t}z_0$, $\sigma_t.z_1 = e^{5t}z_1$, $\sigma_t.z_2 = e^t z_2$ and $\sigma_t.z_3 = e^t z_3$ so that $\sigma_t.z_0 z_1^2 = e^{-3t} z_0 z_1^2$ and $\sigma_t.z_2 z_3(z_2 - z_3) = e^{-3t} z_2 z_3(z_2 - z_3)$ whereas for any other monomial m in f we get $\sigma_t.m = e^{-at}m$ with $a > 3$. Therefore the limit is $X_\infty = \{z_0 z_1^2 + z_2 z_3(z_2 - z_3) = 0\}$ which is still a Fano orbifold. Moreover, $v(f_\infty) = 3f_\infty$, where X_∞ is given by f_∞ , and with the formula of Lu and Yotov we calculate that $F_{X_\infty}(v|_{X_\infty}) = -1 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot 3 = -8 < 0$. Therefore X_f does not admit a Kähler-Einstein (orbifold) metric.

We remark that X_f is Hilbert-Mumford unstable, which of course is already in [GIT], but actually the calculation is the same what we did above. We will come back to this later.

With some more work, Ding and Tian actually prove that if a normal cubic in $\mathbb{P}^3$ admits a Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric then it is Hilbert-Mumford semistable.

4. TIAN'S 2ND STABILITY CONDITION

Now we recall Tian's second stability condition which is necessary for a Fano manifold to allow a Kähler-Einstein metric. If we state the result for the hypersurface case only, it is almost trivial, as any nonsingular hypersurface of degree > 2 in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is Hilbert-Mumford stable [GIT]. Nevertheless we believe that it is more pedagogic to ignore this fact and state only a trivial case of a highly nontrivial theorem.

Theorem 4.1. [Ti97] *Let X_f be a smooth hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$, $1 < d < n + 1$. If X_f is Kähler-Einstein, then X_f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable, i. e. the orbit of $f \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $N = \binom{n+d}{d}$ under the natural $SL(n+1)$ -action is closed. If in addition $\eta(X_f) = 0$ then X_f is Hilbert-Mumford stable.*

Conjecture 4.2. [Ti97] *This is also sufficient for the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric.*

In the general case, Tian proves that X is weakly stable w. r. t. the polarization given by a certain bundle, cf. [Ti97].

5. STABILITY OF HYPERSURFACES

Once more, we fix the notation for the following statements. Let v be a vector field on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Via the natural projection v is induced by a vector field $\sum_{i,j=0}^n a_{ij} z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We will not distinguish between these two vector fields below. Let A be the matrix (a_{ij}) where we assume that v is normalized by the condition $\text{tr}(A) = 0$. After a linear change of variables, we may assume that A is in Jordan form with blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_i & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}$$

We call v a *real* vector field if A has only real eigenvalues, i. e. $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for every Jordan block of A . Of course a real vector field is still holomorphic. We note that for real vector fields a limit X_∞ in the sense of Ding and Tian always exists.

If the first Jordan block of A operates on the coordinates $z_0, \dots, z_r$ then the induced 1-parameter subgroup $\{\sigma_t\}$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ acts on $(z_0, \dots, z_r)^t$ by multiplication with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & te^{\lambda_1 t} & \frac{t^2}{2}e^{\lambda_1 t} & \dots & \frac{t^r}{r!}e^{\lambda_1 t} \\ & e^{\lambda_1 t} & te^{\lambda_1 t} & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & e^{\lambda_1 t} & te^{\lambda_1 t} \\ & & & & e^{\lambda_1 t} \end{pmatrix}$$

(if we regard the z_i as hyperplanes, then $\{\sigma_t\}$ acts by multiplication with the inverse matrix as usual). In particular, if A is a diagonal matrix with entries $(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)$ we get a diagonal action $\sigma_t \cdot z_i = e^{\lambda_i t} z_i$. If all the λ_i are integers, this induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ resp. $\mathbb{P}^n$. By the explicit description above, we also note that $\text{tr}(A) = 0$ corresponds to $\det(\sigma_t) = 1$, i. e. $\sigma_t \in SL(n+1)$.

Finally, $X_f = \{f = 0\}$ is the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ given by the homogeneous polynomial $f = \sum_{|\gamma|=d} f_\gamma z^\gamma$ of degree d , $1 < d < n+1$, with $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_n)$ a multiindex. We also denote by f the vector (f_γ) in $\mathbb{C}^N$.

We recall now some of the definitions and theorems of Mumford's [GIT]. As many assertions are quite simple in the hypersurface case, we could not resist to give some of the proofs here. In some way this shows how everything fits together very nicely.

From Mumford we already know the μ -function, which makes sense for any real diagonal vector field v as above with entries λ .

Definition 5.1. $\mu_v(f) = \min\{\lambda \cdot \gamma \mid f_\gamma \neq 0\}$

If we call $\lambda \cdot \gamma$ the weight of z^γ then $\mu_v(f)$ is the least weight in f .

Definition and Theorem 5.2. [GIT] a) We call f Hilbert-Mumford-stable if the orbit of $f \in \mathbb{C}^N$ under the natural $SL(n+1)$ -action is closed and the stabilizer of f is finite. Equivalent conditions are

- for all algebraic 1-parameter subgroups of $SL(n+1)$ f has both positive and negative weights
- for all $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector fields $v \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with trace 0 one has $\mu_v(f) < 0$.

b) We say that f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable if the orbit of f is closed. Equivalently, for all $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector fields $v \neq 0$ with trace 0 either $\mu_v(f) < 0$ or the $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit of f is a point, i. e. $\mu_v(f) \leq 0$ and “ $= 0$ ” iff f is a fixed point of the action induced by v .

Lemma 5.3. Let $v = \sum \lambda_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ be a diagonal vector field and assume that $v(f) = \kappa f$ for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mu_v(f) = \kappa$.

Proof. As $v(z^\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i z_i \gamma_i z^{\gamma - e_i} = (\lambda \cdot \gamma) z^\gamma$, e_i the i -th unit vector, we have $\kappa f = v(f) = \sum_\gamma (\lambda \cdot \gamma) f_\gamma z^\gamma$ and thus $\lambda \cdot \gamma = \kappa$ for all γ where $f_\gamma \neq 0$, in particular $\mu_v(f) = \kappa$. $\square$

Lemma 5.4. Assume that v is a diagonal real vector field and let $\mu = \mu_v(f)$. Then $X_\infty = \{f_\infty = 0\}$ is defined by $f_\infty = \sum_{\lambda \cdot \gamma = \mu} f_\gamma z^\gamma$ and therefore $v(f_\infty) = \mu f_\infty$ with $\mu = \mu_v(f) = \mu_v(f_\infty)$.

Proof. For any γ with $f_\gamma \neq 0$ one has $\lambda \cdot \gamma - \mu \geq 0$ by the definition of μ . In the limit, all monomials z^γ where $\lambda \cdot \gamma - \mu > 0$ vanish, i. e. we only keep those monomials where the minimum μ is obtained. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. Let X_f be a hypersurface of degree $1 < d < n+1$. Then X_f is Hilbert-Mumford stable iff for every $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector field $v \neq 0$ with trace 0 one has $F_{X_\infty}(v) > 0$.

We emphasize that we make no conditions on X_∞ here.

Proof. We just note that by the formula of Lu and Yotov,

$$F_{X_\infty}(v) = -(n+1-d)(d-1) \frac{n+1}{n} \kappa$$

where $(n+1-d)(d-1) \frac{n+1}{n} > 0$ and $\kappa = \mu_v(f)$. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. X_f is Hilbert-Mumford stable iff for every diagonal real vector field $v \neq 0$ with trace 0 one has $\mu_v(f) < 0$.

Proof. We only have to proof the “only if” direction. Assume that there exists a real vector field $0 \neq v = \sum \lambda_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ with $\sum \lambda_i = 0$ and $\mu_v(f) = \min\{\lambda \cdot \gamma \mid f_\gamma \neq 0\} \geq 0$, i. e. the following system of linear inequalities has a real solution under the constraint $\sum \lambda_i = 0$:

$$\forall \gamma \text{ with } f_\gamma \neq 0 : \quad \lambda \cdot \gamma \geq 0$$

As all coefficients γ_i are nonnegative integers, this system then also has a rational solution. By clearing denominators, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector field with $\mu \geq 0$. This is a contradiction to our assumption that f is stable. $\square$

This also shows how to calculate destabilizing vector fields.

If v is a real Jordan vector field, we can decompose it into the diagonal part v_{diag} and the nilpotent part v^+ : $v = v_{\text{diag}} + v^+$. The diagonal part induces a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^k$ -action for some k , whereas the nilpotent part induces a $\mathbb{C}^+$ -action. If X_f is invariant under v then also under v_{diag} and v^+ .

Lemma 5.7. *If v is nilpotent and X_f invariant under v then $v(f) = 0$ (i. e. $\kappa = 0$).*

Proof. As X_f is invariant under v , $v(f) = \kappa f$ for some κ . As v is nilpotent, we know that $v = z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \cdots + z_r \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{r-1}} + \cdots$ for some r , where we have written out the terms corresponding to the first Jordan block. If z^γ is the least monomial occuring in f for the lexicographical order, we note that $v(f)$ does not contain z^γ and therefore $\kappa = 0$. $\square$

Remark 5.8. *This is only a very special case of a theorem of Mabuchi [Ma90]: The Futaki invariant vanishes on nilpotent vector fields.*

So we see that if we degenerate X_f with a $\mathbb{C}^+$ -vector field v we only get $F_{X_\infty}(v|_{X_\infty}) = 0$. But if X_f is Kähler-Einstein we wanted to prove some kind of stability and therefore expected to get “ > 0 ”. As a result, we restrict ourselves to diagonal vector fields (more exactly to vector fields v with nonvanishing diagonal part v_{diag} , but as $F(v^+) = 0$ the diagonal part is essential).

We sum this up in the following

Corollary 5.9. *Let X_f be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $1 < d < n + 1$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- X_f is Hilbert-Mumford stable
- for all real Jordan vector fields v with trace 0 one has $F_{X_\infty}(v|_{X_\infty}) \geq 0$ and “ $= 0$ ” only if $v_{\text{diag}} = 0$.

6. SPECIAL DEGENERATIONS OF HYPERSURFACES

Again $X_f = \{f = 0\}$ is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d , $1 < d < n + 1$.

Definition 6.1. *a) A special degeneration of X_f as a hypersurface is a fibration $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- Y is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ and π is the restriction of the projection to the second factor
- for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the fiber Y_s is a hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \{s\} \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and $Y_1 = X_f$
- there exists a vector field v on $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that Y is invariant under $v - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$.

b) A special degeneration of X_f as a hypersurface is said to be trivial if Y_s is invariant under v for one (any) $s \neq 0$ and therefore $Y = X_f \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 6.2. If we compare our definition with Tian's there are two major differences: First, we assume that the total space of the degeneration is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}$. Secondly, we do not assume that the special fiber is a normal variety. In our setting the special fiber is an arbitrary hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \{0\}$. We don't know whether it is always possible to construct destabilizing degenerations in such a way that the special fiber is normal, irreducible and reduced.

Theorem 6.3. A special degeneration of X_f as a hypersurface is uniquely determined by v .

Proof. We put $w = v - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$. We assume that Y is given by the polynomial $H(s)$ where $H(s_0)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in $z_0, \dots, z_n$ for each $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, in particular $H(1) = f$. As Y is invariant under w we have $w(H(s)) = \kappa H(s)$ for some constant κ .

If we put $u = z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + \dots + z_n \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$ then $u(H(s)) = dH(s)$ by Euler's formula, so if we modify w by a suitable multiple of u we can assume that $\kappa = 0$. We note that hereby we have lost our former normalization that v has trace 0.

Integration of v gives the real 1-parameter subgroup $\{\sigma_t\}$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$. We put $F(t) = \sigma_t.f$. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ clearly $F(t)$ defines a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \{t\}$. By construction (as $(z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(e^{-t}z) = 0$ and so on) we know that $(v + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})(F(t)) = 0$, i. e. $\{F(t) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under $v + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.

Now we make the substitution $s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $s = e^{-t}$ which gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -e^{-t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = -s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$. Then $G(s) := F(-\ln(s))$ is invariant under $v - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = w$, more precisely $w(G(s)) = 0$ and furthermore $G(1) = F(0) = f = H(1)$.

From the uniqueness theorem for the solution of a differential equation we conclude now that $Y = \{G(s) = 0\}$ over $\mathbb{R}^+$ and as everything is analytic, therefore over $\mathbb{C}$. $\square$

From the fact that $G(s)$ extends to a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ we derive the following corollaries.

Corollary 6.4. In a special degeneration as a hypersurface the nilpotent part v^+ acts trivially on f .

Proof. First we consider only the nilpotent part v^+ . Let $\{\psi_t\}$ be the real 1-parameter subgroup generated by v^+ . Then we get

$$F^+(t) := \psi_t.f = f + t f_1 + t^2 f_2 + \dots + t^m f_m$$

for some m where the f_i are polynomials in $z_0, \dots, z_n$. Consequently

$$G^+(s) = f - (\ln s) f_1 + (\ln s)^2 f_2 - + \dots$$

which is only holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ if $f_1 = \dots = f_m = 0$, i. e. $\psi_t.f = f$. In the general case we get additionally some terms of the form e^{at} which give some

powers of s which don't make the whole thing holomorphic unless all of the logarithmic expressions vanish. $\square$

As the nilpotent part acts trivial, we may assume now that v is a diagonal vector field.

Corollary 6.5. *In a special degeneration as a hypersurface v acts $\mathbb{C}^*$ -like on $f \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$.*

Proof. As

$$\sigma_t \cdot z^\gamma = e^{-(\lambda \cdot \gamma)t} z^\gamma = s^{\lambda \cdot \gamma} z^\gamma$$

we calculate that

$$G(s) = \sum f_\gamma s^{\lambda \cdot \gamma} z^\gamma$$

which is only holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ if $\lambda \cdot \gamma$ is a nonnegative integer for all γ with $f_\gamma \neq 0$. $\square$

We recall that we cannot assume that v has trace 0 here, but we normalized v such that $w(H) = 0$.

Corollary 6.6. *There exists a vector field $v' = \sum \lambda'_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ which acts on f as v does.*

Proof. Complete $\{1\}$ to a basis of $\mathbb{C}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ and write $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i \cdot 1 + \dots$ with coefficients $\lambda'_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. We know that all monomials z^γ in f have integer weight $\lambda \cdot \gamma$ for the action of v . Expressing the weights in terms of our basis $\{1, \dots\}$ we conclude that only $v' = \sum \lambda'_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ acts nontrivially on f . $\square$

Of course we cannot assume that v' has integer coefficients, as we see by the stupid example of the action induced by $\frac{1}{2}z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ on the monomial z^2 . If we want to do so in our special degeneration as a hypersurface, we must allow a rescaling on the $\mathbb{C}$ -factor, i. e. we have to multiply $-s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ by a suitable integer.

Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that $v = \sum \lambda_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 6.7. *Let X_f be a hypersurface of degree d , $1 < d < n + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- X_f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable
- for all special degenerations of X_f as a hypersurface one has $F_{Y_0}(v|_{Y_0}) \geq 0$ and “= 0” iff the degeneration is trivial.

Proof. Let us first assume that $f = \sum f_\gamma z^\gamma$ is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable and that $\pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a special degeneration of X_f as a hypersurface determined by v . As we noted above we may assume that v is a diagonal vector field with rational coefficients. Let v' be the normalization of v , i. e. we add to v a suitable multiple of $u = \sum z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ to get trace 0. Furthermore

let $v'' = Mv'$ where M is a suitable positive integer such that v'' is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector field. We note that the Futaki invariants of v and v'' have the same sign.

As f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable we have $\mu_v(f) \leq 0$. Because the special fiber of a special degeneration clearly corresponds to the limit variety X_∞ in the sense of Ding and Tian (using our change of variables $s = e^{-t}$), we conclude using the results of the previous paragraph that $F_{Y_0}(v''|_{Y_0}) \geq 0$ and if we already have “ > 0 ” we are done.

So we may further assume that $F_{Y_0}(v''|_{Y_0}) = 0$ and therefore $\mu_{v''}(f) = 0$. As f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable this is possible only if $f \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is a fixed point of the $SL(n+1)$ -action, i. e. if $\{\sigma_t''\}$ is the 1-parameter subgroup generated by v'' then $\sigma_t'' \cdot f = f$. Let σ_t be the 1-parameter subgroup generated by v . As v and v' differ only by a multiple of u we conclude that $\sigma_t \cdot f = e^{-at}f$ for some constant a . This means that Y is defined by the equation $s^a f = 0$. As the special fiber is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \{0\}$ as well, we conclude that a must be 0 and the degeneration is trivial (consequently, already v had trace 0 in this case).

If the degeneration is trivial, we have $\sigma_t \cdot f = f$ and therefore $\sigma_t'' \cdot f = e^{-at}f$. As f is weakly Hilbert-Mumford stable we know that $a = \mu_{v''}(f) \leq 0$. But as $\sigma_{-t}'' \cdot f = e^{a(-t)}f$ for the action of the 1-parameter subgroup induced by $-v''$ also $-a = \mu_{-v''}(f) \leq 0$ and therefore $a = 0$.

To prove the converse, we assume that f fulfills the second condition. Let v be a $\mathbb{C}^*$ -vector field with trace 0 and $\mu = \mu_v(f)$. Let $v' = dv - \mu u$ and $\{\sigma_t\}$, $\{\sigma_t'\}$ the 1-parameter subgroups induced by v resp. v' . If we sort the terms in $\sigma_t \cdot f$ according to their weight we get

$$\sigma_t \cdot f = e^{-\mu t} f_0 + e^{-(\mu+1)t} f_1 + e^{-(\mu+2)t} f_2 + \dots$$

for some homogeneous polynomials f_i of degree d , and as $u(f) = df$

$$\sigma_t' \cdot f = f_0 + e^{-dt} f_1 + e^{-2dt} f_2 + \dots$$

and v' induces a special degeneration of X_f as a hypersurface. By our assumption $F_{Y_0}(v'|_{Y_0}) \geq 0$ and therefore $\mu_v(f) \leq 0$.

If $\mu_v(f) = 0$ even v induces a special degeneration which must be trivial. This means that $\sigma_t \cdot f = f$ and we are done. If f is fixed under the operation of $\mathbb{C}^*$ induced by v , again v induces the trivial special degeneration and therefore $\mu_v(f) = 0$ $\square$

Example 6.8. Let $f = z_0 z_1^2 + z_2 z_3(z_2 - z_3) + z_1 f_2(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Then $v = -7z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + 5z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}$ is a destabilizing vector field with $\mu_v(f) = 3$. If we define $v' = -24z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} + 12z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}$ then v' induces a special degeneration of X_f .

REFERENCES

- [DT92] W. Ding, G. Tian: *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant*. Inv. Math. 110, 315–335 (1992)

- [Fu83] A. Futaki: *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*. Inv. Math. 73, 437–443 (1983)
- [Fu88] A. Futaki: *Kähler-Einstein metrics and integral invariants*. Springer LNM 1314 (1988)
- [Lu99] Z. Lu: *On the Futaki invariants of complete intersections*. Duke Math. J. 100, 359–372 (1999)
- [Ma90] T. Mabuchi: *An algebraic character associated with the Poisson brackets*. Adv. Studies Pure Math. 18-I, 339–358 (1990)
- [GIT] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty: *Geometric invariant theory. 2nd enlarged edition*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1982)
- [Ti94] G. Tian: *The K-energy of hypersurfaces and stability*. Commun. Anal. Geom. 2, 239–265 (1994)
- [Ti97] G. Tian: *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*. Inv. Math. 130, 1–37 (1997)
- [Ti00] G. Tian: *Canonical metrics in Kähler geometry*. Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, Berlin, Boston (2000)
- [Yo99] M. Yotov: *On the generalized Futaki invariant*. LANL-preprint math.AG/9907055 (July 1999)

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH, 95440 BAYREUTH, GERMANY
E-mail address: `thomas.bauer@uni-bayreuth.de`